# THE SEARCH FOR SYMMETRY IN THE INTERNATIONAL MONETARY SYSTEM

Jean-Pierre Landau September 2017

# the four dimensions of symmetry

- 1. institutional dimension
- doctrinal dimension
- 3. discipline / cooperation dimension
- 4. "architectural" dimension

### 1/ - institutional dimension

Governance of the international financial institutions:

- >composition of Boards
- >origin of Management
- >diversity of Staff

## 2/ - doctrinal dimension

- are policy recommendations (by IFIs) or references (by markets) adapted (or sufficiently flexible) to meet the needs of all countries?
- is the "Washington Consensus" a consensus?
  - the IMF revisiting its "institutional view on capital account opening
  - Ostry and al- on "neo liberalism"
  - Rodrik on the diversity of successful growth strategies
- A. Greenspan in 1997: "this crisis has shown the the Western model of capitalism is superior to any other" and then ... 2007

# 3/- the discipline / cooperation dimension

#### the facts

- market discipline is asymmetric (between surplus and deficit countries)
- external financial shocks are asymmetric (between large and small)
- monetary spillovers are mostly one way— a global financial cycle (and amplified with advanced economies at ZLB)

#### the aspirations

- "rules of the game" ( RAJAN)
- advanced economies "internalize" the effects of their policies (CARUANA)
- multilateral liquidity safety nets

#### the difficulties

- Central Banks have domestic mandates
- they have "fiscal backing" from their Governments

## 4/- the "architectural" dimension

- two ingrained asymmetries :
  - between surplus and deficit countries
  - between issuers and holders of safe assets
- two configurations;
  - the two asymmetries coincide in one country
  - the two asymmetries do not coincide
    - intuition : the system is more stable
    - If issuer of safe assets accepts to be on deficit

# some policy conjectures

- 1. being an issuer of safe assets is very demanding:
  - fully open capital account in all circumstances
  - prepare to accept some "risk transformation" in the national balance sheet (even more if surplus country)
- 2. debt regimes : supporting of safety or not ( state contingent) ?
- 3. liquidity provision to countries or to assets?